

APPENDIX C

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Stone, Derek](#)
Subject: FW: Comments for Licensing Application 24/00435/LAREVI
Date: 31 January 2024 07:58:27

- Official -

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 2:41 PM
To: Licensing Shared Email [REDACTED]
Subject: Comments for Licensing Application 24/00435/LAREVI

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Licensing Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 30/01/2024 2:41 PM from Mrs Hazel Taylor-Jacks.

Application Summary

Address:	119 Elm Grove Southsea PO5 1LH
Proposal:	Review Premises
Case Officer:	MR DEREK STONE

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	Mrs Hazel Taylor-Jacks
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Licensing Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: 30/01/2024 2:41 PM The Sub Committee Members Stuart Brown, Emily Strutwick and Yinka Adeniran, were misled at the previous Sub Committee Meeting by the Applicate.

The Applicant representative repeatedly states that the main operation of SBK is FOOD/RESTURANT, which is not the findings of Mr Pollard on his visit or is reflected in the video/CCTV which have been presented, or experience of local residents. Its a CLUB with DANCING. Sub Committee Meeting 9th October Live stream Applicate refers to SBK as Restaurant at 33.10, 35.19, 37.40,35.19, 53.44 and 1hour 15 Steve Hudson insists Restaurant.

Committee Members are referred to by the Applicant as experienced, we heard from Ms Adeniran that was first time sitting on this Committee, Ms Strutwick also volunteers she has no knowledge of Elm Grove or the area or SBK. Live stream - 15.00

Mr Stone states - Retrospective Applications 'Should never happen' and is 'Highly unusual' Mr Hudson is an experienced Licence holder and has worked in the hospitality business for decades - why the over sight? Live Stream - 16.56

The Police and Environmental Health made no representation/objections at Licencing Sub Committee - from Mr Pollard statement, Police had visited the site 6 times from April to October 2023 due to Assault, Intoxication, Public Order, Spiking, ASB etc These are extreme cases when Police attend, but there are numerous other incidents on a weekly basis

Bottomless Brunch glamorising intoxication, which was outlined in the representations made by residents, these 'offers' are contrary to the Licencing

Act.

Mr Hudson invited residents to contact him to 'sort out' issues, however had we all not logged these concerns and only gone to Mr Hudson the official stance by Police, Enviromental etc all fine. Mr Hudson was exercising damage limitation. Poor decision making and bad management at SBK I would request Sub Committee consider revocation of the premises licence knowing Sub Comm mislead previously as operation its a CLUB

Kind regards